

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/760,279	GRUSH, BERNARD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Van T. Trieu	2636	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allow

 (1) Van T. Trieu.

 (3) ZJennifer Jannauska.

 (2) Douglas N. Deeth, Reg. No. 28,773.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2006 &
28 March 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I:
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1 and 20

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant authorizes for an Examiner's Amendment to correct the abbreviations of "RFID and/or DSRC" in the independent claim 1; and a lack of antecedent basis "the signal source" in the independent claims 1 and 20; and a new abstract to correct the phrase "said" therein.